## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

**OPEN ACCESS** 

## Newer Technological Advances: A Step Towards Better Dental Care



Mohan Kumar Pasupuleti<sup>1</sup>, Supraja Salwaji<sup>2</sup>, Mahitha Dantuluri<sup>3</sup>, MAKV Raju<sup>4</sup>, Alluri Venkata Ramaraju<sup>5</sup>, Maria Maddalena Marrapodi<sup>6</sup>, Marco Cicciù<sup>7</sup> and Giuseppe Minervini<sup>8,\*</sup>

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



\*Address correspondence to this author at the Multidisciplinary Department of Medical-Surgical and Odontostomatological Specialties, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Università degli Studi della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, 80121 Naples, Italy; E-mail: minervinigiuseppe@hotmail.it

Published: August 28, 2024



Cite as: Pasupuleti M, Salwaji S, Dantuluri M, Raju M, Raju V, Marrapodi M, Cicciù M, Minervini G. Newer Technological Advances: A Step Towards Better Dental Care. Open Dent J, 2024; 18: e18742106320205. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118742106320205240819093345

Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

## PRISMA 2020 Checklist

Page:1Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Page: 1 Line: 1
ABSTRACT			
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist Included As per PRISMA 2020 ABSTRACT checklist	Page:2 Line: 24-43
INTRODUCTION	-		
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	Page:3 Line: 50-65
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Page: 4 Line: 66-86
METHODS	-		
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	Page: 6,7 Line: 126-132
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	Page: 6 Line:121-124

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Periodontics, Vishnu Dental College, Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, Vishnu Dental College, Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Undergraduate Student, Vishnu Dental College, Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Orthodontics, Vishnu Dental College, Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh, India

 $<sup>^5</sup>$ Department of Prosthodontics, Vishnu Dental College, Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Department of Surgery, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Università Degli Studi Della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", 80121 Naples, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Department of Biomedical and Surgical and Biomedical Sciences, Catania University, 95123 Catania, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Multidisciplinary Department of Medical-Surgical and Odontostomatological Specialties, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Università Degli Studi Della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, 80121 Naples, Italy

Page:1Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
TITLE			
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	Page: 7 Line: 133-137
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Page: 7 Line: 138-146
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Page: 7 Line: 147-153
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	Page: 8 Line:154-166
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	Page: 8 Line:154-166
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Page: 8 Line: 167-172
Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.	Page: 8 Line:17-172
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).	Page: 6,7 Line:125-132
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	Page: 7 Line: 133-137
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	Page: 7 Line: 138-142
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.	Page: 7 Line:143-146
	13e	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).	Page: 7 Line: 147-153
		Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	Page: 9 Line: 181-187
Reporting bias assessment	14	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).	Page: 8 Line: 167-172
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	Page: 9 Line: 181-187
RESULTS	•		
Study selection		the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	Page: 6 Line: 110-118
		explain why they were excluded.	Page: 6 Line: 126-130
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	Page: 6 Line: 126-130
Risk of bias in studies		Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	Page: 9 Line: 188-189
Results of individual studies	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	Page: 8 Line: 173-176
Results of syntheses	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	Page: 9 Line: 177-180
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	Page: 9 Line: 181-189
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	Page: 9 Line: 177-180
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	Page: 9 Line: 181-189
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.	Page: 9 Line: 188-189
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	Page: 9 Line: 188-189

Page:1Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
TITLE			
DISCUSSION			
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	Page: 9 Line: 190-198
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	Page: 9 Line: 190-198
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	Page: 10 Line: 199-214
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	Page: 10 Line: 199-214
OTHER INFORMATION	J		
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	Page: 6 Line: 110-111
	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	Page: 6 Line: 110-111
	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	Page: 6 Line: 110-111
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	NA
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	NA
Availability of data, code and other materials	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review.	Corresponding author

From: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71.doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71